DTEB Committee Meeting Minutes   **17 October 2019**

Facilitator: Mr. Michael James

Minutes Taken By: Mr. Michael James

Attendees

Name	Office Symbol	Phone	Email Address
Gordon Allbritton	CMOS	(334) 416-2067	gordon.allbritton@us.af.mil
Tye Bevelle	SDDC	(618) 220-6442	tyerronnica.c.bevelle.civ@mail.mil
Bruce Bowman	TCJ6-RD	(618) 220-4321	bruce.e.bowman2.ctr@mail.mil
Judy Bratcher	IGC, DFTS	(618) 220-6146	judy.a.bratcher.ctr@mail.mil
Lawrence Bringenberg	iSDDC	(618) 220-5393	lawrence.m.bringenberg.civ@mail.mil
Joy Carter	DLA LOGISTICS OPS	(571) 767-1611	joy.carter@dla.mil
Kay Clodfelter	TRANSCOM TCJ5/4	(618) 220-6993	kay.j.clodfelter.civ@mail.mil
Tonja Daniels-Carter	DLA	(269) 961-5227	tonja.daniels-carter@dla.mil
Jim DeCarli	TCJ6-RD	(618) 220-4385	james.d.decarli.civ@mail.mil
Daniel Eisenberg	TCJ6-RD	(618) 220-4441	daniel.j.eisenberg.ctr@mail.mil
Gregory Griffin	FACTS	(757) 443-5512	greg.griffin@navy.mil
Michael James	TCJ6-RD	(618) 220-4439	michael.r.james28.ctr@mail.mil
Roy Longley	TCJ4-LT	(618) 220-4479	roy.p.longley.civ@mail.mil
John Mannino	GFM	(618) 220-5636	john.mannino.civ@usa.army.mil
Craig Matlock	USAF AMC A4	(618) 229-5365	craig.matlock.1@us.af.mil
Frank Napoli	EBSO	(571) 767-0753	frank.napoli.ctr@dla.mil
Sherry Verdu	USARMY SDDC	(618) 220-5781	sherry.m.verdu.civ@mail.mil
Sylvia Williams	EBSO	(804) 279-1802	Sylva.williams3.civ@mail.mil
Sandy Wojtaszek	Maersk	(704) 571-2856	Sandy.Wojtaszek@maersk.com
Linda Wright	NEXCOM	(757) 631-3306	linda.wright@nexweb.org

Purpose: Tri-annual DTEB Committee meeting to update members on current activities and gain member input on current and future projects.

Agenda

- ☐ Welcome & Introductions
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: X12
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: F-35 Global Transportation and Distribution Support
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: Multimodal 3
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: DFTS
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: FEMA
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: TMS
- ☐ Technical Secretary Report: X12 – Version 008010
- ☐ DM & IC Update
- ☐ Sync Chart – Systems Review
- ☐ 858R Accessorial Table Review
- ☐ Data Governance Efforts
- ☐ 858D – Shipping Cost in Accessorial Loop
- ☐ Rail ACI
- ☐ Transportation Mode vs Method
- ☐ Open Discussion
- ☐ Action Item Review
- ☐ Next Steps, Next Meeting, Wrap Up
- ☐ Adjournment

Discussion

1) Technical Secretary Report: X12
   a) Last Meeting
      i) 15 Sept – 19 Sept 2019 – Onsite is Pittsburgh, PA
      ii) Standing meeting for all Transportation subcommittee activities
      iii) Meeting minutes have been submitted to ASC X12 web site
         (1) Minutes available upon request
   b) Next Meeting
      i) 26-30 January, 2020
      ii) Portland, OR
   c) X12 Data maintenance
      i) X12I (Transportation) activities
         (1) The task group reviewed nine (9) maintenance request (MR) items
            (a) 6 approved
            (b) 3 deferred
      ii) Approved one request for information (RFI)
   d) Way Ahead
      i) 2020 Initiatives: X12 is establishing alliances with the BlockChain Transport association (BiTA). At the moment, there is significant push-back by BiTA members to standardize codes—each member wants to use its own code tables. At the moment,

it does not appear likely BiTA will standardize code values, limiting its usefulness across the transportation domain.

2) Technical Secretary Report: F-35 Global Transportation and Distribution Support
   a) DTEB Support is assisting with developing automated EDI-based transactions to support the F-35 Supply effort.
   b) The following ICs are being considered for the service
      i) 219B
      ii) 219D
      iii) 220B
      iv) 220D
      v) 858R
      vi) 856S
   c) Ms. Bratcher (IGC) asked what's unique about supporting the F-35 weapon system compared to other weapon systems.
   d) Mr. Napoli answered that it is the fact that F-35 requires a transition from commercial-controlled to DOD-controlled Supply processes.

3) Technical Secretary Report: Multimodal 3
   a) DTEB Support is assisting with identifying the best path forward to ensuring ITV is met
   b) The following ICs are being considered for the service
      i) 315A
      ii) New variant?
   c) Mr. Bringenberg added that these air-only movements are not IBS-booked, and that the change to allow POE to accept APOEs and POD to accept APODs might impact systems downstream.

4) Technical Secretary Report: DFTS
   a) DFTS is supporting FEMA during the current Hurricane Season through the use of standard DFTS ICs, not use of the 856F/214F.
   b) DTEB support to DFTS has reduced to monthly system engineering IPT meetings
   c) GFM (in support of DCMA) is seeking to change their processes to allow changes to shipments to be made after the 220D has been sent by the 3PL. The business rules for these changes are being worked by the DFTS PMO.
      i) 219D and 858R with transaction purpose code '04' is the current EDI solution.
      ii) Currently on hold due to business rule questions being addressed by PMO according to Mr. Mannino.
   d) No significant changes to DTEB ICs from DFTS since the last DTEB Committee Meeting

5) Technical Secretary Report: FEMA
   a) FEMA and DLA have completed testing the exchange of the 856F and have begun preparations for exchanging the 214F
   b) DLA requested DTEB support with implementing the 214 exchange
   c) DTEB is actively monitoring this situation
   d) Mr. Napoli requested that EBSO be included when DTEB contacts FEMA/DLA for status updates. Mr. Eric Flannagan is lead on this effort in their office.

6) Technical Secretary Report: TMS
   a) BLUF: DTEB has supported TMS by interpreting EDI information streams

      i)   Nine DTEB EDI transaction sets currently in use

   b) Background/Timeline: Timeline for TMS POP Release 1.2 (CONUS to/from Hawaii)

      i)   Jul – TCAIMS II: Deploy/Re-deploy: Schofield Barracks to Ft. Polk

      ii) Aug – DLA Sustainment: DDPW => DDJC=> DDPH

      iii) Sep – AAFES: Booking and Distribution – PACOM wide

      iv) Oct – DLA and Navy: Booking and Distribution – PACOM wide

   c) Data Challenges

      i)   Requirements Definition for Master Data

      ii) Requirements Definition for Transactional Data

      iii) Lessons Learned from Prototype Data

7) Technical Secretary Report: X12 – Version 008010

   a) Does the DTEB Committee want to purchase 008010 and begin converting all ICs to new version?

      i)   Pros:

         (1) Allows DTEB to address various issues that have been introduced into the ICs over the years (IE: mixing code lists 150 and 152)

         (2) Allows DTEB to begin compliance with EDMO standards without impacting legacy interfaces.

         (3) Allows DTEB to consider alternatives to repurposing codes (IE: requesting new codes from X12 when needed.)

         (4) Allows DTEB to separate Business Partner and Location information without impacting legacy ICs.

      ii) Cons:

         (1) Implementing the new ICs will require money.

         (2) Converting the old ICs to a new version will require a significant time investment.

         (3) Changes to code lists will require significant analysis.

   b) Mr. Napoli warned DTEB to consider the impact moving to a new version of X12 would have on external systems that rely on transportation data, such as Supply and Financial systems.

   c) Mr. DeCarli noted that further consideration on the matter will be needed.

8) Technical Secretary Wrap-up

   a) June Meeting Minutes were approved for publication.

   b) No further questions from the committee on the Technical Secretary report.

9) DM & IC Update

   a) 5 DMs submitted for DTEB review since last DTEB Committee meeting

      i)   4 Approved by Member Vote

      ii) 1 Withdrawn by Submitter

   b) No further discussion requested by Committee

10) Sync Chart – Systems Review

   a) Current Systems Displayed

      i)   CMOS   vii) IBS   xiii)   TGIS

      ii) DSS   viii)   IGC   xiv)TOPS

      iii) FACTS   ix) ISDDC   xv) TRDM

      iv) GATES   x)   LOGSA   xvi)VSM

      v) GCSS-MC/LCM   xi) MTMS

      vi) GFM   xii) ONE TMS

    b) DTEB Support recommended removing ONE TMS due to it being specific to the DTCI contract, which is no longer active.
    c) Mr. Griffin suggested adding USBank and TPPS to the sync chart and stated that he would provide a POC after the meeting.
    d) DTEB Support took an action item to send an email out to the Committee about these changes and requesting information about any other changes.

11) 858R Accessorial Table Review
    a) DTEB 858R Code Value Working Group met 8 May 2019 intending to review the Service Unit Qualifiers and Service Rate Qualifiers associated with Accessorials in the 858R.
        i) Since our previous Committee meeting, Key Subject Matter Experts (SME) have been reviewing the materials
        ii) Very time consuming effort that involved multiple inputs from constituents
        iii) Working copy of Section 6 is available upon request
    b) A follow-up meeting will be scheduled if necessary; otherwise, a DM containing the recommended changes will be submitted for Committee review.
    c) Mr. Mannino noted that it would be nice to lock everyone involved in a room to address the matter, but that's not feasible.

12) Data Governance Efforts
    a) Two Data Governance efforts are under way that will directly interact with DTEB Committee members.
    b) Data Stewards – Initiative to officially identify the primary POC for data-related issues for Systems/Programs/Initiatives (SPI).
    c) Standard Terms – Initiative to create an Enterprise data dictionary utilizing definitions and data specs from Authoritative Sources. Standard definitions and data specs could impact the content of the DTEB ICs in the long run
    d) Mr. Napoli recommended contacting Sylvia Williams to coordinate Standard Terms initiatives.

13) 858D – Shipping Cost in Accessorial Loop
    a) HL (Accessorial Service Description Loop)
        i) HL Segment – Accessorial Service Description
        ii) Loop ID – L0 (Accessorial Service)
        iii) L0 Segment – Accessorial Service
        iv) L1 Segment – Accessorial Service Charge
        v) **L1 Segment – Shipping Cost**
    b) There is no explanation for how the bolded L1 segment should be populated or why it was created within the IC.
    c) Is this utilized by any systems?
    d) How is it utilized?
    e) If it is not utilized, can a DM removing this L1 segment be submitted to eliminate the confusion?
    f) DTEB support staff took an action item to send an email requesting input on this question from the broader DTEB community.

14) Rail ACI
    a) 417/418 Implementation

    i) iSDDC is looking to implement 417 and 418 for Rail shipments.

    ii) Mr. Bringenberg noted that the 417/418 is currently being created by the Rail Carriers, but not being sent to USTRANSCOM. It is used to track trains and not just railcars.

  b) GFM-Rail ACI Interface Requirements Agreement (IRA)

    i) GFM has requested DTEB support in reviewing an update to an IRA between GFM and members of the Rail ACI.

    ii) It has been around 10 years since the last Rail ACI, so the members list is dated. DTEB support has begun efforts to re-connect with the key members.

      (1) SDDC

      (2) CMOS

      (3) GFM

      (4) EBSO

      (5) Norfolk Southern

      (6) American Short Line and Regional Railroad Association

      (7) BNSF

      (8) Union Pacific

      (9) CSX

      (10) Indiana Rail Road Company

      (11) PAL/EVW

  c) Ms. Bratcher noted that 81 rail carriers send IGC data.

  d) Ms. Verdu noted that the IRA does not warrant an ACI meeting on its own. Most likely an email or a quick conference call would suffice.

  e) Mr. Napoli recommended contacting Chris Hight from the ARA (rail lobbying organization).

  f) Mr. DeCarli noted that further investigation into whether DTEB is the appropriate group to establish the rail ACI meeting is required.

15) Transportation Mode vs Method

  a) EBSO is currently looking at replacing all references to "Transportation Mode Code" with "Transportation Method Code" in DLMS ICs.

    i) Transportation Mode is sourced from the DTR folk - goes way back to MILSTAMP days. This is used in non-EDI DTR required transactions

    ii) The Transportation Method is from the X12 EDI standard linked to the DTR Transportation Method to associate the various codes to each other

    iii) They are separate codes created separately. They are interrelated - but they are not a one to one match.

  b) EBSO wants DTEB feedback before moving forward with their Proposed DLMS Change (PDC).

    i) Making the change will require systems changes – how significant is currently unknown.

    ii) The two code lists are very similar; eliminating Mode will remove some confusion.

  c) There was lots of discussion on this topic, but it seemed the consensus was twofold:

    i) These codes are confusing (more so when Billing Mode is thrown into the mix)

    ii) Fixing the problem will require lots of systems changes.

  d) Final decisions on the PDC will be made once it is released and official taskings related to it can be sent out.

16) Open Discussion
   a) No additional topics for discussion were raised
17) Action Item Review
   a) 858R Accessorial Code list cleanup (AI # 2019002)
      i) Work in progress
      ii) No estimated completion date due to schedules of SMEs required for input
   b) NEXCOM 810L testing assistance (AI # 2019005)
      i) Continues to be an issue.
      ii) Mr. Napoli and Ms. Wright exchanged brief details of the current process.
      iii) Mr. James took an action item to reach out to Ms. Wright after the meeting to assist in making required connections.
   c) Estimated Delivery Date review (AI # 2019006)
      i) Closed task
      ii) 9/17/19 Closed per J5/4 request.
   d) Funding source for TMS changes (AI # 2019007)
      i) Closed task
      ii) Request to MAM required for any funding changes
   e) DTEB Charter Final Review (AI # 2019008)
      i) Closed task
      ii) Charter sent to Committee members for review. Feedback implemented.
18) Wrap Up, Next Steps, Next Meeting
   a) Next Meeting
      i) Relevant Planning Dates
        (1) X12 Meeting: 26-30 January 2020
      ii) Recommended dates for next DTEB
        (1) Primary: 13 February 2020
        (2) Alternate: 20 February 2020
      iii) Location
        (1) LMI Office, Scott AFB with virtual option

Risks & Mitigations

Action Items

- Send email to committee regarding removing ONE TMS from DTEB Sync chart and adding any other systems – **Dan Eisenberg – Due Date: 10/23/19**
  Status – assigned
- Send email about use (and possible removal) of L1 (shipping cost) segment from 858D – **Dan Eisenberg – Due Date: 10/18/19**
  Status – assigned
- Send Linda Wright email to get her in contact with someone who can help with 810L testing – **Michael James – Due Date: 10/18/19**
  Status – assigned
-

Takeaways

❖ **Decisions made and next steps**